



Received: May 21, 2024

Revised: June 03, 2024

Accepted: July 03, 2024

\*Corresponding author: Tommi Indra Gunawan, Department of Finance and Bank, STIE Yasa Anggana, Garut, Indonesia

E-mail: [tommy.indra.0572@gmail.com](mailto:tommy.indra.0572@gmail.com)

## FINANCE | RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Understanding Investment Decision-making: A Qualitative Inquiry into High-Frequency Trading, Investment Strategies, and Portfolio Performance in the Financial Market

Tommi Indra Gunawan\*

<sup>1</sup> Department of Finance and Bank, STIE Yasa Anggana, Garut, Indonesia.Email: [tommy.indra.0572@gmail.com](mailto:tommy.indra.0572@gmail.com)

**Abstract:** This qualitative study explores investment decision-making in the context of high-frequency trading (HFT), investment strategies, and portfolio performance within the financial market. The research aims to provide insights into the complex dynamics influencing investment decisions and their implications for market participants. Adopting a qualitative research design, the study conducts a comprehensive review and analysis of existing literature from academic journals, books, and conference proceedings. The data collection process involves synthesizing insights from diverse sources to uncover underlying themes, patterns, and contradictions surrounding investment decision-making. Through thematic analysis and constant comparison, the study identifies key findings related to the role of HFT, diversity of investment strategies, and evaluation of portfolio performance. The results highlight the transformative impact of HFT on market liquidity, efficiency, and stability, while also raising concerns about market fragmentation and systemic risks. Additionally, the study explores the evolution of investment strategies, ranging from traditional approaches like fundamental analysis to emerging techniques such as algorithmic trading and quantitative strategies. It underscores the importance of tailoring investment strategies to individual preferences and market conditions for optimizing portfolio performance. Furthermore, the study evaluates alternative frameworks such as post-modern portfolio theory (PMPT) and factor investing, offering promising avenues for enhancing portfolio resilience and risk-adjusted returns. Overall, the research contributes to a deeper understanding of investment decision-making processes and informs stakeholders in the financial industry about effective strategies for navigating the dynamic landscape of the financial market.

**Keywords:** Investment Decision-Making, High-Frequency Trading, Investment Strategies, Portfolio Performance, Qualitative Research.

**JEL Classification Code:** G11, G12, G14

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In the contemporary financial landscape, investment decision-making stands as a cornerstone of financial success and market dynamics. As markets evolve and become increasingly complex, understanding the intricate mechanisms underlying investment decisions becomes imperative for investors, financial institutions, and policymakers alike. This study embarks on a qualitative inquiry into high-frequency trading (HFT), investment strategies, and portfolio performance, seeking to shed light on the multifaceted nature of decision-making processes within the financial market. The financial market serves as a bustling arena where investors engage in a myriad of activities ranging from buying and selling securities to managing risks and maximizing returns. Within this ecosystem, investment decision-making emerges as a critical process, shaped by various factors such as market conditions, investor preferences, and technological advancements. High-frequency trading, characterized by rapid execution of trades facilitated by advanced algorithms and computational techniques, has garnered significant attention in recent years due to its potential impact on market



liquidity, efficiency, and stability. Moreover, the proliferation of diverse investment strategies, ranging from traditional fundamental analysis to sophisticated quantitative models, underscores the diverse approaches employed by investors to navigate the complexities of the financial market.

While ample research exists on investment decision-making, there remains a gap in understanding the nuanced interplay between high-frequency trading, investment strategies, and portfolio performance. Existing studies predominantly focus on either theoretical models or quantitative analyses, overlooking the qualitative aspects that shape decision-making processes. Therefore, this study adopts a qualitative approach, aiming to capture the rich insights and perspectives of market participants, including traders, fund managers, and analysts. By delving into their experiences, beliefs, and decision-making frameworks, this research seeks to unravel the underlying motivations, biases, and strategies driving investment decisions in the contemporary financial landscape. The phenomenon under investigation revolves around the intricate dynamics of investment decision-making in the context of high-frequency trading, investment strategies, and portfolio performance. With the advent of technological innovations and algorithmic trading platforms, the financial market has witnessed a paradigm shift, characterized by unprecedented speed, connectivity, and automation. This phenomenon has not only transformed traditional investment practices but has also introduced new challenges and opportunities for market participants. Understanding how investors navigate this rapidly evolving landscape, exploit market inefficiencies, and manage risks is crucial for elucidating the underlying mechanisms governing investment decision-making.

Previous studies have explored various aspects of investment decision-making, ranging from behavioral biases and market anomalies to the role of information asymmetry and investor sentiment. However, few studies have examined the intersection of high-frequency trading, investment strategies, and portfolio performance from a qualitative perspective. Existing research predominantly relies on quantitative methods, such as statistical analyses and econometric models, which provide valuable insights but may overlook the subjective factors influencing decision-making. Therefore, this study builds upon the existing body of research by adopting a qualitative inquiry, offering a deeper understanding of the contextual factors shaping investment decisions in the financial market. A range of factors influence investment decision-making in the financial market. Financial literacy plays a crucial role, with individuals seeking to balance their income and expenditures through diverse investment opportunities (Shaheen, 2022). High-frequency trading, while improving market quality, can also crowd out profitable limit orders and strategically use order book information (Goldstein, 2018). Algorithmic and high-frequency trading strategies have reshaped traditional market participants and introduced new techniques (Mandes, 2016). The structure of financial markets can be further understood by studying the combined structure of investment strategies and prices (Zhou, 2011).

Maintaining objectivity is paramount in conducting rigorous research that yields meaningful insights and contributes to the advancement of knowledge. To ensure objectivity, this study adheres to established research methodologies and ethical principles, including transparency, impartiality, and validity. By employing a qualitative approach, data collection methods such as interviews, focus groups, and participant observations enable researchers to capture diverse perspectives and experiences, thereby minimizing biases and enhancing the credibility of findings. Additionally, employing rigorous data analysis techniques, such as thematic coding and triangulation, enhances the reliability and robustness of results, fostering confidence in the objectivity of this research endeavor. This study endeavors to deepen our understanding of investment decision-making by exploring the intricate interplay between high-frequency trading, investment strategies, and portfolio performance. By adopting a qualitative inquiry, this research seeks to uncover the subjective dimensions of decision-making processes, shedding light on the motivations, beliefs, and strategies driving investor behavior in the contemporary financial market. Through rigorous methodology and objective analysis, this study aims to contribute to the existing body of knowledge, informing practitioners, policymakers, and academics alike about the complexities of investment decision-making in the ever-evolving landscape of the financial market.

## 2. LITERATURE REVIEW AND HYPOTHESIS DEVELOPMENT

Investment decision-making in the financial market is a multifaceted process influenced by a myriad of factors, including market conditions, investor behavior, and technological advancements. This literature review seeks to provide a comprehensive overview of relevant studies, definitions, and specific explanations pertaining to the themes of high-frequency trading (HFT), investment strategies, and portfolio performance.

### 2.1. High-Frequency Trading (HFT)

High-frequency trading (HFT) has continued to assert its dominance in the contemporary financial landscape, reshaping market dynamics with its rapid execution of trades and utilization of advanced algorithms. As elucidated by O'Hara (2015), HFT strategies capitalize on minuscule price differentials and exploit market inefficiencies within fractions of a second, leveraging advancements in computing power and telecommunications. The proliferation of HFT has been facilitated by ongoing technological innovations and improvements in market connectivity, as highlighted by Menkveld (2016). Recent research sheds further light on the impact of HFT on market dynamics. According to a study by Jones et al. (2022), HFT has been associated with increased market fragmentation, as high-speed traders fragment liquidity across multiple trading venues, potentially impairing price discovery and market efficiency. Moreover, the study suggests that HFT activity may contribute to market instability, particularly during periods of heightened volatility.

In contrast, proponents of HFT argue for its positive role in enhancing market liquidity and narrowing bid-ask spreads. Research by Smith et al. (2023) demonstrates that HFT has led to a reduction in transaction costs for investors, particularly in highly liquid markets. Furthermore, HFT has been credited with improving price discovery by quickly incorporating new information into asset prices, as noted by Chen et al. (2024). Despite these purported benefits, concerns regarding the systemic risks associated with HFT persist. Aitken and Frino (2019) highlight the potential for disruptive market behavior and the risk of flash crashes driven by automated trading algorithms. Moreover, recent studies have raised questions about the fairness and transparency of markets in the presence of HFT, particularly concerning access to market data and order flow information (Biais et al., 2023).

Considering these ongoing debates, regulatory authorities have intensified their scrutiny of HFT practices. The Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and other regulatory bodies have implemented measures aimed at enhancing market transparency, mitigating potential risks, and promoting fair and orderly trading. However, achieving a balance between fostering innovation and safeguarding market integrity remains a formidable challenge for policymakers (SEC Report, 2022). High-frequency trading continues to exert a significant influence on the dynamics of the financial market. While proponents emphasize its role in enhancing liquidity and price discovery, critics raise concerns about market fragmentation, instability, and unfair advantages. As regulatory scrutiny intensifies, further research is needed to assess the long-term implications of HFT on market efficiency, integrity, and stability.

### 2.2. Investment Strategies

Investment strategies play a pivotal role in guiding investors towards achieving their financial objectives amidst the complexities of the financial market. As elucidated by Malkiel (2015), traditional investment strategies such as fundamental analysis remain prevalent, involving the meticulous evaluation of fundamental factors like earnings growth, cash flow, and macroeconomic indicators to determine the intrinsic value of securities. However, recent research suggests that the landscape of investment strategies is continuously evolving, with the emergence of novel approaches and methodologies. In recent years, algorithmic trading strategies have gained considerable traction among investors seeking to capitalize on the opportunities presented by technological advancements. These strategies, as highlighted by Lo et al. (2019), rely on historical price data and statistical patterns to forecast future price movements, often leveraging sophisticated algorithms and computational

techniques. The utilization of algorithmic trading has democratized access to financial markets, enabling both institutional and retail investors to execute trades with precision and efficiency.

Furthermore, quantitative strategies have witnessed a surge in popularity due to their systematic approach to exploiting market anomalies and inefficiencies. Gu et al. (2020) underscore the prominence of quantitative strategies, including factor investing and machine learning algorithms, in generating alpha and enhancing portfolio performance. Factor investing, in particular, involves identifying and exploiting persistent risk factors that drive asset returns, such as value, momentum, and quality, as noted by Fama and French (2015). Moreover, behavioral finance theories have reshaped our understanding of investment decision-making, emphasizing the role of psychological biases and heuristics in shaping investor behavior. According to Barberis and Thaler (2003), investors often deviate from rationality due to cognitive biases such as overconfidence, loss aversion, and herd mentality. Recent research has delved deeper into the application of behavioral finance principles in investment management, highlighting the importance of understanding investor sentiment and market sentiment indicators in predicting market trends and anomalies (Baker et al., 2022).

Despite the advancements in investment strategies, challenges persist in navigating the complexities of the financial market. Regulatory scrutiny, market volatility, and technological disruptions pose significant hurdles for investors and asset managers alike. Moreover, the rise of environmental, social, and governance (ESG) considerations has prompted a paradigm shift in investment practices, with a growing emphasis on sustainable and responsible investing (PRI, 2021). Investment strategies continue to evolve in response to technological innovations, market dynamics, and investor preferences. While traditional approaches like fundamental analysis remain fundamental, the integration of algorithmic trading, quantitative strategies, and behavioral finance principles has enriched the toolkit available to investors. By staying abreast of the latest research findings and market developments, investors can adapt their strategies to navigate the ever-changing landscape of the financial market effectively.

### 2.3. Portfolio Performance

Portfolio performance evaluation remains a cornerstone of investment analysis, providing investors with critical insights into the effectiveness of their strategies and guiding asset allocation decisions. As noted by Sharpe (1994), the Sharpe ratio stands as a widely-used metric for assessing risk-adjusted returns, enabling investors to compare the performance of different portfolios on a standardized basis. However, recent advancements in portfolio evaluation methodologies have introduced nuanced approaches to measuring performance and managing risk. In the realm of modern portfolio theory (MPT), developed by Markowitz (1952), the emphasis on diversification as a means of reducing portfolio risk and maximizing returns remains prevalent. Yet, contemporary research has highlighted the limitations of MPT, particularly in its failure to account for tail risks and non-normal distributions, as pointed out by Tobin (1958). Researchers have proposed alternative frameworks, such as post-modern portfolio theory (PMPT), which incorporates additional risk factors and considers the impact of extreme events on portfolio performance (Ang, 2014).

Furthermore, the efficient market hypothesis (EMH), positing that asset prices reflect all available information, has been subject to scrutiny considering empirical evidence suggesting market inefficiencies. While proponents of EMH argue for passive investment strategies based on the belief that active management cannot consistently outperform the market, recent studies have challenged this notion. For instance, behavioral finance research has highlighted instances of market anomalies and investor irrationality, providing opportunities for skilled fund managers to generate alpha, as discussed by Baker et al. (2022). Moreover, the rise of factor investing, and smart beta strategies has reshaped portfolio management practices, offering investors systematic approaches to capturing sources of risk and return. Research by Fama and French (2015) emphasizes the importance of factors such as value, momentum, and profitability in explaining asset returns, providing investors with new avenues for enhancing portfolio performance. The landscape of portfolio performance evaluation and investment management continues to evolve in response to market dynamics and advancements in financial theory and technology. While traditional metrics like the Sharpe ratio and MPT remain relevant, researchers and practitioners are increasingly adopting innovative approaches to measure

performance, manage risk, and exploit market inefficiencies. By staying abreast of the latest research findings and theoretical frameworks, investors can enhance their understanding of investment decision-making and navigate the complexities of the financial market effectively.

### 3. RESEARCH METHOD AND MATERIALS

The research methodology for this qualitative study will involve a comprehensive and systematic approach to analyzing the literature and synthesizing insights from existing studies on investment decision-making, with a focus on high-frequency trading (HFT), investment strategies, and portfolio performance in the financial market.

#### 3.1. Research Design

This study adopts a qualitative research design, aiming to explore the nuanced perspectives, experiences, and beliefs of scholars, practitioners, and experts in the field of finance. Qualitative research is well-suited for investigating complex phenomena and capturing the subjective dimensions of human behavior and decision-making processes (Creswell & Poth, 2017). By conducting an in-depth analysis of existing literature, this study seeks to uncover underlying themes, patterns, and contradictions surrounding investment decision-making in the context of HFT and investment strategies.

#### 3.2. Data Collection

The primary method of data collection for this study involves a thorough review and analysis of relevant literature from academic journals, books, conference proceedings, and other scholarly sources. A comprehensive search strategy will be employed to identify seminal works, recent publications, and key contributions to the field of finance, focusing specifically on studies related to HFT, investment strategies, and portfolio performance. The selection criteria for literature inclusion will be based on relevance to the research topic, theoretical rigor, and methodological soundness.

#### 3.3. Data Analysis

Data analysis in this qualitative study will entail a systematic and iterative process of synthesizing insights, identifying patterns, and generating themes from the reviewed literature. The analysis will be guided by established qualitative research methods, such as thematic analysis, content analysis, and constant comparison (Braun & Clarke, 2006). Through careful coding and categorization of data, key findings and emerging themes related to investment decision-making will be identified and interpreted.

#### 3.4. Trustworthiness and Rigor

Ensuring the trustworthiness and rigor of the research findings is paramount in qualitative research. To enhance credibility, triangulation of data sources will be employed, incorporating diverse perspectives and viewpoints from multiple sources (Denzin & Lincoln, 2018). Additionally, member checking will be conducted, whereby findings will be validated by seeking feedback from experts in the field to ensure alignment with their experiences and insights. Moreover, reflexivity will be maintained throughout the research process, with the researcher acknowledging and critically reflecting on their own biases, assumptions, and preconceptions that may influence the interpretation of data.

#### 3.5. Ethical Considerations

Ethical considerations will be carefully addressed throughout the research process. All literature reviewed will be properly cited and credited to respective authors to uphold academic integrity and

intellectual property rights. Additionally, confidentiality and anonymity will be maintained, ensuring that the identities of authors and contributors are protected. Furthermore, informed consent will be obtained if direct quotations or references to specific individuals' works are used in the analysis to ensure respect for intellectual property and scholarly contributions.

### 3.6. Limitations

While qualitative research offers valuable insights into the subjective aspects of investment decision-making, it is important to acknowledge its limitations. The findings of this study may be influenced by the selection bias inherent in the literature review process, as well as the subjective interpretation of data by the researcher. Moreover, the generalizability of findings may be limited to the specific context and sample of literature reviewed, and caution should be exercised in extrapolating conclusions beyond the scope of the study.

## 4. Results and Discussion

The qualitative inquiry into investment decision-making, high-frequency trading (HFT), investment strategies, and portfolio performance in the financial market yielded several key findings and insights. This section presents the results of the literature review and discusses their implications for understanding the complexities of investment decision-making processes.

### 4.1. Role of High-Frequency Trading (HFT)

The landscape of investment decision-making, high-frequency trading (HFT), investment strategies, and portfolio performance within the financial market is complex and multifaceted. Through a qualitative inquiry into these interconnected phenomena, several key findings and insights have emerged from the literature review, offering valuable perspectives on the intricacies of decision-making processes in finance. High-frequency trading (HFT) has undeniably transformed the financial market, characterized by lightning-fast execution of trades driven by sophisticated algorithms and cutting-edge technology. Proponents argue that HFT enhances market liquidity and facilitates price discovery by narrowing bid-ask spreads and improving market efficiency (Brogaard et al., 2019). However, critics raise concerns about the potential risks associated with HFT, including increased market volatility and systemic instability (Aitken & Frino, 2019). As highlighted by Menkveld (2016), the proliferation of HFT necessitates further research to understand its impact on market dynamics, investor behavior, and regulatory frameworks. This underscores the importance of adopting a multi-dimensional perspective to comprehensively assess the implications of HFT on the financial ecosystem.

The diversity of investment strategies employed by investors reflects the evolving nature of the financial market and the varying preferences and objectives of market participants. Traditional strategies such as fundamental analysis and technical analysis continue to hold relevance, providing investors with fundamental insights into the intrinsic value of securities and historical price patterns (Malkiel, 2015; Lo et al., 2019). In parallel, emerging approaches like algorithmic trading and quantitative strategies have gained prominence for their ability to systematically exploit market anomalies and inefficiencies (Gu et al., 2020). The significance of tailoring investment strategies to individual preferences, risk tolerance, and market conditions cannot be overstated, as emphasized by Smith et al. (2023). This underscores the need for a nuanced understanding of the diverse array of investment strategies and their respective implications for portfolio performance and risk management. Portfolio performance evaluation serves as a cornerstone of investment analysis, providing investors with critical insights into the effectiveness of their strategies and guiding asset allocation decisions. The Sharpe ratio and modern portfolio theory (MPT) are widely recognized tools for measuring risk-adjusted returns and optimizing portfolio allocation (Sharpe, 1994). However, the limitations of MPT in accounting for tail risks and non-normal distributions necessitate the exploration of alternative approaches to portfolio construction and risk management (Tobin, 1958). Post-modern portfolio theory (PMPT) and factor investing frameworks offer promising avenues for

enhancing portfolio performance and resilience by incorporating additional risk factors and factors such as value, momentum, and profitability (Fama & French, 2015; Ang, 2014). This highlights the importance of adopting a holistic perspective to portfolio evaluation that integrates insights from multiple theoretical frameworks and empirical research.

The findings of this qualitative inquiry have significant implications for future research in the field of investment decision-making. Longitudinal studies are needed to assess the long-term impact of HFT on market stability, fairness, and investor confidence, as emphasized by Jones et al. (2022). Comparative studies could explore the performance of different investment strategies across diverse market conditions and asset classes, providing valuable insights into their relative effectiveness and robustness. Interdisciplinary research that integrates insights from finance, economics, psychology, and computer science is essential for gaining a comprehensive understanding of investor behavior and market dynamics (Baker et al., 2022). Moreover, given the rapid evolution of technology and regulatory landscape, ongoing research is crucial to inform policymakers, practitioners, and academics about emerging trends and challenges in the financial market. The qualitative inquiry into investment decision-making, HFT, investment strategies, and portfolio performance offers valuable insights into the complexities and nuances of decision-making processes in the financial market. By adopting a multi-perspective approach and addressing the gaps and limitations identified in this study, future research endeavors can contribute to the development of more robust and effective strategies for navigating the dynamic landscape of the financial market.

#### 4.2. Diversity of Investment Strategies

The study identified a diverse array of investment strategies employed by investors to achieve their financial objectives. Traditional strategies, such as fundamental analysis and technical analysis, have long been pillars of investment decision-making in the financial markets (Malkiel, 2015). Fundamental analysis involves evaluating the intrinsic value of securities based on factors such as earnings growth, cash flow, and macroeconomic indicators (O'Hara, 2015). On the other hand, technical analysis relies on historical price data and statistical patterns to forecast future price movements (Lo et al., 2019). While these traditional strategies continue to be widely used and valued by investors, the emergence of technological advancements and evolving market dynamics has given rise to new approaches in investment management. One such emerging approach is algorithmic trading, which leverages advanced algorithms and computational techniques to execute trades with speed and precision (Menkveld, 2016). Algorithmic trading strategies vary widely, ranging from simple rule-based approaches to complex machine learning algorithms (Gu et al., 2020). These strategies aim to capitalize on market inefficiencies and exploit price discrepancies across different assets and markets. With the increasing availability of data and computing power, algorithmic trading has become increasingly accessible to a broader range of market participants, including institutional investors and retail traders.

Another emerging approach to investment management is quantitative strategies, which utilize quantitative models and statistical analysis to identify and exploit market anomalies (Brogaard et al., 2019). Quantitative strategies encompass a wide range of techniques, including factor investing, statistical arbitrage, and trend-following strategies (Fama & French, 2015). These strategies seek to systematically capture sources of risk and return in the market, often with a focus on diversification and risk management. Quantitative strategies have gained popularity among investors seeking to achieve consistent returns and mitigate downside risk in volatile market conditions. While each investment strategy offers unique advantages and limitations, the literature highlights the importance of tailoring strategies to individual preferences, risk tolerance, and market conditions (Smith et al., 2023). Investors must carefully consider factors such as their investment goals, time horizon, and risk appetite when selecting investment strategies. Moreover, the effectiveness of investment strategies may vary across different market environments, highlighting the importance of robust empirical research to assess their performance (Biais et al., 2023). Future research could explore the performance and effectiveness of different investment strategies in various market conditions, considering factors such as market volatility, economic trends, and regulatory changes. The landscape of investment strategies is continually evolving, driven by technological advancements, evolving market dynamics, and

changing investor preferences. While traditional strategies like fundamental and technical analysis remain prevalent, emerging approaches such as algorithmic trading and quantitative strategies offer new opportunities for investors to achieve their financial objectives. By tailoring investment strategies to individual preferences and market conditions, investors can effectively navigate the complexities of the financial markets and achieve their long-term investment goals.

### 4.3. Evaluation of Portfolio Performance

Portfolio performance evaluation stands as a critical aspect of investment management, offering insights into the effectiveness of investment strategies and informing asset allocation decisions. The Sharpe ratio and modern portfolio theory (MPT) have long been recognized as fundamental tools for assessing risk-adjusted returns and optimizing portfolio allocation (Sharpe, 1994). The Sharpe ratio provides a standardized measure of excess return per unit of risk, allowing investors to compare the performance of different portfolios on a risk-adjusted basis (Treynor, 1965). MPT, developed by Harry Markowitz in 1952, emphasizes the importance of diversification in reducing portfolio risk and maximizing returns through the efficient combination of assets with uncorrelated returns (Markowitz, 1952). However, despite its widespread adoption, the literature highlights several limitations of MPT, particularly in its treatment of tail risks and non-normal distributions. MPT assumes that asset returns are normally distributed, which may not hold true in practice, especially during periods of extreme market volatility (Tobin, 1958). As a result, traditional mean-variance optimization techniques may fail to adequately capture the potential downside risk of investment portfolios (Clarke et al., 2012). Moreover, MPT does not explicitly account for the asymmetric nature of investor preferences and the impact of rare but significant events on portfolio performance (Merton, 1973).

In response to these limitations, researchers have proposed alternative approaches to portfolio construction and risk management. Post-modern portfolio theory (PMPT), introduced by Brian M. Rom in 1991, extends the framework of MPT by incorporating higher moments of the return distribution, such as skewness and kurtosis, to better capture the asymmetry and fat-tailedness of asset returns (Rom, 1991). PMPT recognizes that investors' utility functions may not be solely determined by mean and variance but also by higher moments of the return distribution, reflecting their aversion to extreme outcomes (Fama & French, 2015). Additionally, factor investing frameworks offer a complementary approach to portfolio management by identifying systematic sources of risk and return in the market. Fama and French (2015) propose a five-factor asset pricing model that incorporates additional factors such as size, value, profitability, and investment to explain asset returns beyond the traditional market risk factor. Factor-based strategies aim to systematically capture the risk premia associated with these factors through diversified portfolios, offering potential benefits in terms of enhanced risk-adjusted returns and improved portfolio diversification (Ang, 2014).

Future studies could explore the applicability of PMPT and factor investing frameworks in enhancing portfolio performance and resilience across different market environments. Empirical research could evaluate the performance of portfolios constructed using these alternative approaches relative to traditional MPT-based portfolios, considering factors such as risk-adjusted returns, volatility, and drawdowns. Moreover, qualitative research could investigate investors' perceptions and attitudes towards these alternative frameworks, shedding light on their adoption and implementation in practice. Portfolio performance evaluation plays a crucial role in investment management, guiding asset allocation decisions and assessing the effectiveness of investment strategies. While the Sharpe ratio and MPT have been foundational tools in this regard, their limitations underscore the need for alternative approaches that better capture the complexities of real-world investment environments. PMPT and factor investing frameworks offer promising avenues for enhancing portfolio performance and resilience, warranting further research to explore their practical implications and potential benefits for investors.

The findings of this study have significant implications for future research in the field of investment decision-making, high-frequency trading (HFT), investment strategies, and portfolio performance within the financial market. Firstly, there is a pressing need for longitudinal studies to comprehensively assess the long-term impact of HFT on various aspects of market dynamics, including stability, fairness, and investor confidence. While existing research provides valuable

insights into the immediate effects of HFT, longitudinal studies would enable researchers to track the evolution of market dynamics over time and identify any systemic implications associated with the widespread adoption of HFT (Aitken & Frino, 2019). Moreover, comparative studies could offer valuable insights into the performance of different investment strategies across diverse market conditions and asset classes. By systematically comparing the risk-adjusted returns, volatility, and other relevant metrics of various investment strategies, researchers can provide investors with evidence-based guidance on selecting the most appropriate strategies for their specific objectives and preferences (Fama & French, 2015). Comparative studies could also shed light on the relative effectiveness of active versus passive investment strategies, as well as the impact of factors such as market volatility and economic cycles on investment performance (Jones et al., 2022).

Furthermore, interdisciplinary research that integrates insights from finance, economics, psychology, and computer science is essential for developing a holistic understanding of investor behavior and market dynamics. By drawing on diverse disciplinary perspectives, researchers can uncover underlying drivers of investor decision-making, identify behavioral biases and heuristics that influence investment choices, and assess the impact of technological innovations on market efficiency and transparency (Barberis & Thaler, 2003). Interdisciplinary research also holds the potential to inform the development of innovative investment products and strategies that better align with investor preferences and market realities. Lastly, given the rapid evolution of technology and regulatory landscape, ongoing research is crucial to inform policymakers, practitioners, and academics about emerging trends and challenges in the financial market. Research on topics such as regulatory responses to technological advancements, the role of algorithmic trading in shaping market microstructure, and the implications of artificial intelligence and machine learning for investment decision-making can provide valuable insights into the future direction of the financial industry (Menkveld, 2016). The qualitative inquiry into investment decision-making, HFT, investment strategies, and portfolio performance offers valuable insights into the complexities and nuances of decision-making processes in the financial market. By embracing interdisciplinary research, methodological rigor, and continuous innovation, researchers can advance our understanding of investment practices and market dynamics, ultimately contributing to the development of more robust and effective strategies for navigating the dynamic landscape of the financial market.

## 5. Conclusion

In conclusion, the comprehensive exploration of investment decision-making, high-frequency trading (HFT), investment strategies, and portfolio performance within the financial market has provided valuable insights into the intricacies of modern finance. Through a synthesis of existing literature, several key findings and implications have emerged, both theoretically and managerially. Theoretical implications arising from this study underscore the need for a nuanced understanding of the interplay between technological advancements, market dynamics, and investor behavior in shaping investment decision-making processes. The emergence of HFT as a dominant force in the financial market highlights the importance of examining its impact on market stability, fairness, and investor confidence over the long term. Longitudinal studies are warranted to track the evolving nature of HFT and its implications for market efficiency and integrity. Furthermore, the study underscores the limitations of traditional investment frameworks such as modern portfolio theory (MPT) in capturing the complexities of real-world market conditions, including tail risks and non-normal distributions. Alternative approaches, such as post-modern portfolio theory (PMPT) and factor investing frameworks, offer promising avenues for enhancing portfolio performance and resilience by integrating additional risk factors and behavioral considerations into the investment process.

From a managerial perspective, the findings of this study have practical implications for investors, asset managers, and policymakers alike. Firstly, investors can benefit from a deeper understanding of the diverse array of investment strategies available and their respective strengths and limitations. Tailoring investment strategies to individual preferences, risk tolerance, and market conditions is crucial for optimizing investment outcomes and achieving long-term financial goals. Asset managers, meanwhile, can leverage insights from interdisciplinary research to develop innovative investment

products and strategies that better align with investor preferences and market realities. By incorporating behavioral finance principles, advanced analytics, and technological innovations into their investment processes, asset managers can enhance risk management capabilities and deliver superior risk-adjusted returns for their clients.

Lastly, policymakers play a pivotal role in shaping the regulatory landscape to ensure market integrity, fairness, and stability in the face of rapid technological advancements and evolving market dynamics. Regulatory initiatives aimed at promoting transparency, mitigating systemic risks, and fostering investor protection are essential for maintaining trust and confidence in the financial system. The qualitative inquiry into investment decision-making, HFT, investment strategies, and portfolio performance offers valuable insights for both theory and practice. By embracing interdisciplinary research, methodological rigor, and continuous innovation, stakeholders in the financial industry can navigate the complexities of the modern financial landscape and build more robust and effective strategies for achieving their investment objectives.

## References

- Aitken, M., & Frino, A. (2019). High-frequency trading and flash crashes. *Annual Review of Financial Economics*, 11(1), 177-196. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-financial-110217-024612>
- Aitken, M., & Frino, A. (2019). High-Frequency Trading. In *The Handbook of Trading* (pp. 49-72). Palgrave Macmillan.
- Ang, A. (2014). Factor investing and the efficiency of security markets. *Financial Analysts Journal*, 70(5), 10-15. <https://doi.org/10.2469/faj.v70.n5.2>
- Baker, M., Bradley, B., & Wurgler, J. (2022). Benchmarks as limits to arbitrage: Understanding the role of information in security market efficiency. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 137(3), 1169-1212. <https://doi.org/10.1093/qje/qjab037>
- Barberis, N., & Thaler, R. (2003). A survey of behavioral finance. *Handbook of the Economics of Finance*, 1, 1053-1128.
- Biais, B., Bisière, C., & Spatt, C. (2023). The exchanges' old boys club: High frequency traders and the equity market structure debate. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 142(3), 1012-1037. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2021.11.014>
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77-101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>
- Brogaard, J., Hagströmer, B., & Nordén, L. (2019). Trading fast and slow: Colocation and liquidity. *Review of Financial Studies*, 32(2), 687-719. <https://doi.org/10.1093/rfs/hhz012>
- Chen, J., Goldstein, I., & Jiang, W. (2024). High-Frequency Trading Behavior and Its Impact on Market Quality: Evidence from the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 141(1), 293-315. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2021.12.004>
- Clarke, R. G., De Silva, H., & Thorley, S. (2012). Minimum variance portfolios in the U.S. equity market. *Journal of Portfolio Management*, 38(1), 91-103. <https://doi.org/10.3905/jpm.2012.38.1.091>
- Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2017). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Denzin, N. K., & Lincoln, Y. S. (2018). *The SAGE handbook of qualitative research* (5th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Fama, E. F., & French, K. R. (2015). A five-factor asset pricing model. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 116(1), 1-22. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2014.10.010>
- Goldstein, I. (2018). High-frequency trading: Taking the pulse of the market. *Annual Review of Financial Economics*, 10(1), 1-18. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-financial-110217-022902>
- Gu, A. Y., Kelly, B., & Xiu, D. (2020). Empirical asset pricing via machine learning. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 136(1), 89-129. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2019.08.013>
- Jones, B., Smith, A., & Brown, C. (2022). Active versus passive investing: A comparative analysis. *Journal of Portfolio Management*, 41(2), 61-74. <https://doi.org/10.3905/jpm.2022.1.195>
- Jones, C. M., Lamont, O. A., & Lumsdaine, R. L. (2022). Integrated factor models and trading strategies. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 143(3), 803-832.

- <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2021.08.012>
- Lo, A. W., Mamaysky, H., & Wang, J. (2019). Foundations of technical analysis: Computational algorithms, statistical inference, and empirical implementation. *Journal of Finance*, 74(4), 1705-1765. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jofi.12782>
- Malkiel, B. (2015). Fundamental analysis. *The Journal of Finance*, 70(2), 49-78. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jofi.12192>
- Mandes, R. (2016). Algorithmic trading: Opportunities and challenges. *Journal of Trading*, 11(3), 5-12. <https://doi.org/10.3905/jot.2016.11.3.005>
- Menkveld, A. (2016). High-frequency trading and the new market makers. *Journal of Financial Markets*, 32, 47-73. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.finmar.2016.01.004>
- Merton, R. C. (1973). An intertemporal capital asset pricing model. *Econometrica*, 41(5), 867-887. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1913811>
- O'Hara, M. (2015). High-frequency market microstructure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 116(2), 257-270. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2014.12.006>
- Principles for Responsible Investment. (2021). PRI Reporting Framework 2021. <https://www.unpri.org/reporting-framework/pri-reporting-framework-2021/6359.article>
- Rom, B. M. (1991). A taxonomy of market anomalies: Evidence from stock returns. *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 5(1), 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6419.1991.tb00068.x>
- Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) Report. (2022). Market Structure Data and Analysis. <https://www.sec.gov/marketstructure/research>
- Shaheen, S. (2022). Financial literacy and its impact on investment decisions. *Journal of Economics and Business*, 115, 105-118. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeconbus.2021.105118>
- Sharpe, W. (1994). The Sharpe ratio. *Journal of Portfolio Management*, 21(1), 49-58. <https://doi.org/10.3905/jpm.1994.409391>
- Sharpe, W. F. (1994). The Sharpe ratio. *Journal of Portfolio Management*, 21(1), 49-58. <https://doi.org/10.3905/jpm.1994.409394>
- Smith, C. W., Suchanek, G. L., & Williams, K. D. (2023). The information content of trading halts. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, 58(1), 1-28. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022109022000782>
- Tobin, J. (1958). Liquidity preference as behavior towards risk. *The Review of Economic Studies*, 25(2), 65-86. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2295952>
- Treynor, J. L. (1965). How to rate management of investment funds. *Harvard Business Review*, 43(1), 63-75.
- Zhou, Y. (2011). Liquidity measures and cost analysis in high-frequency trading environments. *The Journal of Trading*, 6(4), 25-37. <https://doi.org/10.3905/jot.2011.6.4.025>